

Message

---

**From:** Bussard, David [Bussard.David@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 2/25/2016 10:21:30 PM  
**To:** Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RESENDING....I THINK I HAD NOT YET SAVED CHANGES: Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?  
**Attachments:** FA\_DevRepro\_120215 2-12-16 SM incomplete\_Dbcmts.docx

I just checked, I think I attached the file BEFORE I saved the changes I had made.

Try looking at this instead.

Sorry about that. DAVID

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

# **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

---

**From:** Bussard, David

**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 3:51 PM

**To:** Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?

I took a shot and how we could make the table on conclusions in repro/dev easier to read. **LOOK ONLY AT the section on male repro at the end** (that is the only one I change, as a sort of trial balloon) – about page 42 or so.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

So, I took a shot for this one of creating subheaders in effect, underlined effect types, and then bullets of studies. I think it makes it much easier to see for each type of endpoint if there is consistent evidence for that endpoint or not.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

What do you think?

David